

Multidisciplinary Case Planning Fidelity Measure Results

12/12/19

Summary of Responses

Five community advocates provided 52 responses over three years of MDCP surveys.

Checklist Questions

These questions were designed to see, and to what extent, community advocates are doing particular tasks when enrolling a youth in services. The following two graphs show the average response rate for each question. The specific activities they were asked about were:

For system partners who are new to working with you, did you describe the process and confidentiality restrictions before discussing case plans?

Did you describe to partners the immediate need that was the reason for working together?

When communicating with partners, did you describe the desired outcomes?

Did you regularly review and consider the youth's personal and social strengths?

Was the youth's viewpoint represented in developing plans, if appropriate?

Did you, system partners, and/or the youth (as appropriate) identify a list of possible concrete goals?

Did you, system partners and/or the youth (as appropriate) select only one or a manageable number of concrete goals to address?



Prior to selecting a strategy to address youth's needs, did you, system partners, and/or the youth (as appropriate) brainstorm more than one possible strategy?

Did you, system partners and/or the youth (as appropriate) review barriers or challenges to implementing possible strategies?

At the end of meeting with system partners AND/OR the youth, did you explicitly review agreed upon tasks and document personal assignments for completing tasks?


Did you regularly review the progress toward accomplishing the youth's overall goals?

Overall, community advocates report doing each task to a very high degree. The lowest scores were for whether they explicitly reviewed tasks and assignments at the end of a meeting, if the youth's views were represented, and whether they reviewed and planned to address barriers to strategy implementation. However, these scores were still quite high, with the lowest score being an average 8.6 out of 10. Highest scores were for describing the desired outcomes, identifying concrete goals, and describing the reason to work together.




Working with Service Partners

When asked whether they worked with service partners for the particular youth...



Most CAs indicated that they meet with at least one service partner. Of those providers, most are with child welfare social workers, or “Other” (see table below).



Participants were asked 1) whether each service partner generally engaged with them in a positive and collaborative manner, and 2) if they would want to work with them again. Answers were provided on a 1-10 scale, with 10 being the most positive. Ratings of service partners were generally high, with child welfare having the lowest scores.

