

Commercial Sexual Exploitation of Children Training: Results from the 2016-2019 Expert Training Pre-Post Evaluations, by Trainer

Table A. Overview of data available for the trainer comparison, 2016-2019

Trainer	Matched pre/post surveys (n = 750)
Leslie Briner	47 (6.3%)
Kelly Mangiaracina	84 (11.2%)
Norene Roberts	169 (22.5%)
Others	47 (6.3%)
No matched trainer	403 (53.7%)

Note: When two trainers were listed, for example, Kelly + other trainer or Norene + other trainer, I coded it as “Kelly” and “Norene,” respectively. When Kelly + Norene were co-trainers, I coded it as “two trainers.”

Summary: There were 413 matched pre/post survey records for trainings occurring in 2016-2017. Of those 413 records, 300 had a trainer attached to the record.

OVERVIEW OF LESLIE BRINER’S TRAININGS

Table 1. Characteristics of participants completing the Awareness of Sex Trafficking Assessment following training led by Leslie Briner

	Participants n = 47 (%)
Gender	
Male/man	7 (14.9%)
Female/woman	32 (68.1%)
Non-binary/trans	5 (10.6%)
Missing	3 (6.4%)
Race/ethnicity	
Black/African American	5 (10.6%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	3 (6.4%)
Asian or Pacific Islander	3 (6.4%)
White	34 (72.3%)
Latino	7 (14.9%)
Other	1 (2.1%)
Field of work	
Child Welfare	16 (34.0%)
Juvenile Justice	0 (0.0%)
Legal Community	1 (2.1%)
Education	2 (4.3%)
Mental Health	3 (6.4%)
Law Enforcement	0 (0.0%)
Service Provider	19 (40.4%)
Community Member	0 (0.0%)
Other (Advocate/Shelter Staff/Trainer)	6 (12.8%)
Years in field of work	
Less than 1 year	23 (48.9%)

1 – 5 years	20 (42.6%)
6 – 10 years	1 (2.1%)
11 – 15 years	0 (0.0%)
16 – 30 or more years	2 (4.2%)
Missing	1 (2.1%)
Training year	
2015	0 (0.0%)
2016	0 (0.0%)
2017	1 (2.1%)
2018	19 (40.4%)
2019	2 (4.3%)
Missing	25 (53.2%)

N = 47.

Table 2. Comparison of knowledge items from the Awareness of Sex Trafficking Assessment following training led by Leslie Briner (n = 46 for all questions)

Level of knowledge about...	Pre-survey Mean (SD)	Post-survey Mean (SD)	t (p)
The federal and state definitions of sex trafficking?	3.8 (1.7)	6.5 (1.3)	-9.9 (.00)
Terminology related to sex trafficking (e.g., commercially sexually exploited youth, prostitution, pimp, etc.)	4.5 (1.8)	6.9 (1.5)	-9.7 (.00)
Factors that put youth at risk of sex trafficking?	4.4 (1.7)	6.9 (1.3)	-10.1 (.00)
Factors that protect youth against sex trafficking?	3.9 (1.5)	6.2 (1.4)	-9.8 (.00)
Strategies used to recruit youth into sex trafficking?	4.2 (1.8)	6.8 (1.4)	-11.8 (.00)
How sex trafficking impacts youth?	4.4 (1.6)	7.0 (1.2)	-11.7 (.00)
The prevalence of sex trafficking among youth in my community?	3.7 (2.0)	5.8 (1.9)	-7.9 (.00)
The ways to identify sex trafficked youth?	3.6 (1.7)	6.3 (1.5)	-13.3 (.00)
Ways to engage with sex trafficked youth?	3.3 (1.7)	6.4 (1.4)	-12.8 (.00)
Your agency's process for identifying sex trafficked youth?	3.1 (1.8)	5.9 (1.8)	-9.5 (.00)
What is your level of knowledge about your agency's referral process for sex trafficked youth?	3.1 (1.9)	5.6 (2.1)	-8.0 (.00)
Services available in my community to treat sex trafficked youth?	3.2 (1.9)	6.0 (1.9)	-9.5 (.00)

Note: Items scored on a scale from 1 to 10, with 1 being no knowledge, 3 being a little knowledge, 5 being knowledge, 7 being significant knowledge, and 10 being complete knowledge/expert.

Summary: Significantly higher post-survey scores compared to pre-survey scores on all items.

Table 3. Comparison of belief items from the Awareness of Sex Trafficking Assessment following training led by Leslie Briner (n = 46 for all questions)

Beliefs about the following statements:	Pre-survey Mean (SD)	Post-survey Mean (SD)	t (p)
Prostitution is a victimless crime even when minors participate?	2.2 (2.4)	1.3 (1.1)	2.5 (.02)
Minors choose to engage in prostitution for money?	2.6 (1.6)	2.7 (1.8)	-0.3 (.77)
Minors who are involved in prostitution could stop at any time?	2.2 (1.5)	1.9 (1.4)	1.0 (.31)
Secure detention is necessary to serve youth involved in sex trafficking?	2.6 (1.7)	2.0 (1.5)	2.7 (.01)

Note: Items scored on a scale from 1 to 10, with 1 being completely false, 5 and 6 being depends on the situation, and 10 being completely true.

Summary: Significantly lower post-survey scores compared to pre-survey scores on 2 of 4 items.

Table 4. Comparison of experience and comfort items from the Awareness of Sex Trafficking Assessment following training led by Leslie Briner (N = 47)

With your current level of experience, how comfortable do you feel about:	Pre-survey Mean (SD)	Post-survey Mean (SD)	t (p)
Your ability to have a conversation with a youth to identify if s/he is currently being sexually exploited, or is at risk of sexual exploitation? (n = 47)	4.5 (2.0)	6.8 (1.6)	-9.7 (.00)
Your ability to directly ask a youth if s/he is trading sex for money, survival needs, or other items of value? (n = 47)	4.7 (2.1)	7.0 (1.9)	-8.1 (.00)
Your ability to identify youth victims of sex trafficking in your community? (n = 47)	3.8 (1.7)	6.3 (1.5)	-9.6 (.00)
Your ability to identify youth at risk of being sex trafficked in your community? (n = 47)	3.8 (1.8)	6.7 (1.8)	-10.5 (.00)
Responding appropriately when victims of sex trafficking are identified (e.g., documentation, referral, supervisor, or notification, etc.) (n = 46)	4.4 (2.0)	6.8 (1.7)	-9.0 (.00)
Your ability to refer a youth to local resources and services? (n = 46)	4.7 (2.0)	6.9 (1.8)	-8.2 (.00)
Your ability to have a conversation with youth about safety planning? (n = 37)	5.1 (2.2)	7.3 (1.4)	-7.2 (.00)

Note: Items scored on a scale from 1 to 10, with 1 being not at all comfortable and 10 being completely comfortable.

Summary: Significantly higher post-scores compared to pre-scores on all items.

Table 5. Comparison of knowledge, belief, and experience and comfort mean scores from the Awareness of Sex Trafficking Assessment following training led by Leslie Briner (N = 47)

	Pre-survey Mean (SD)	Post-survey Mean (SD)	t (p)
Mean knowledge score	3.8 (1.4)	6.3 (1.3)	-14.5 (.00)
Mean belief score	2.4 (1.1)	2.0 (0.9)	2.9 (.02)
Mean experience and comfort score	4.4 (1.5)	6.8 (1.3)	-13.7 (.00)

Summary: Significantly higher post-survey scores compared to pre-survey scores for the knowledge and experience/comfort assessments. Significantly lower post-survey scores compared to pre-survey scores on the belief assessment.

Leslie Briner's Trainings Compared to Norene, Kelly, & Others' Trainings

Table 1. Characteristics of participants completing the Awareness of Sex Trafficking Assessment by trainer

	Others n = 703 (%)	Leslie n = 47 (%)	χ (<i>p</i>)
Gender			12.6 (.02)
Woman/Female	476 (80.1%)	32 (72.7%)	
Man/Male	105 (17.7%)	7 (15.9%)	
Non-binary/Trans	13 (2.2%)	5 (11.4%)	
Missing	109	3	
Race/ethnicity			0.7 (.99)
Black/African American	63 (10.2%)	5 (10.6%)	
American Indian or Alaska Native	22 (3.5%)	3 (6.4%)	
Asian or Pacific Islander	51 (8.2%)	3 (6.4%)	
White	428 (69.0%)	34 (72.3%)	
Latino	39 (6.3%)	7 (14.9%)	
Other	17 (2.7%)	1 (2.1%)	
Missing	83	-	
Field of work			10.6 (.22)
Child Welfare	239 (38.2%)	16 (34.0%)	
Juvenile Justice	15 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	
Legal Community	10 (1.6%)	1 (2.1%)	
Education	29 (4.6%)	2 (4.3%)	
Mental Health	74 (11.8%)	3 (6.4%)	
Law Enforcement	6 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Service Provider	139 (22.2%)	19 (40.4%)	
Community Member	15 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	
Other	99 (15.8%)	6 (12.8%)	
Missing	77	-	
Years in field of work (n = 270)			5.5 (.24)
Less than 1 year	178 (30.4%)	23 (50.0%)	
1 – 5 years	249 (42.6%)	20 (43.5%)	
6 – 10 years	70 (12.0%)	1 (2.2%)	
11 – 15 years	33 (5.6%)	0 (0.0%)	
16 – 30 or more years	55 (9.4%)	2 (4.3%)	
Missing	118	1	
Training year			46.1 (.00)
2015	12 (5.7%)	0 (0.0%)	
2016	39 (18.6%)	0 (0.0%)	
2017	83 (39.5%)	1 (4.5%)	
2018	42 (20.0%)	19 (86.4%)	
2019	34 (16.2%)	2 (9.1%)	
Missing	493	25	

N = 750.

Table 2. Comparison of knowledge items from the Awareness of Sex Trafficking Assessment by trainer

Level of knowledge about...	Pre-Survey			Post-Survey		
	Others	Leslie	t (p)	Others	Leslie	t (p)
	Mean (SD) n = 703	Mean (SD) n = 46		Mean (SD) n = 703	Mean (SD) n = 46	
The federal and state definitions of sex trafficking?	3.0 (1.6)	3.8 (1.7)	-3.1 (.00)	6.7 (1.4)	6.5 (1.3)	1.1 (.26)
Terminology related to sex trafficking (e.g., commercially sexually exploited youth, prostitution, pimp, etc.)	3.8 (1.8)	4.5 (1.8)	-2.6 (.01)	7.0 (1.5)	6.9 (1.5)	0.6 (.58)
Factors that put youth at risk of sex trafficking?	3.9 (1.8)	4.4 (1.7)	-2.0 (.04)	7.1 (1.5)	6.9 (1.3)	0.9 (.35)
Factors that protect youth against sex trafficking?	3.3 (1.7)	3.9 (1.5)	-2.4 (.02)	6.5 (1.6)	6.2 (1.4)	1.6 (.12)
Strategies used to recruit youth into sex trafficking?	3.4 (1.8)	4.2 (1.8)	-3.1 (.00)	6.9 (1.5)	6.8 (1.4)	0.5 (.61)
How sex trafficking impacts youth?	3.9 (1.8)	4.4 (1.6)	-2.1 (.04)	7.1 (1.5)	7.0 (1.2)	0.5 (.64)
The prevalence of sex trafficking among youth in my community?	3.1 (1.7)	3.7 (2.0)	-2.8 (.01)	6.4 (1.7)	5.8 (1.9)	2.2 (.03)
The ways to identify sex trafficked youth?	2.9 (1.7)	3.6 (1.7)	-2.7 (.01)	6.7 (1.5)	6.3 (1.5)	1.7 (.09)
Ways to engage with sex trafficked youth?	2.7 (1.6)	3.3 (1.7)	-2.0 (.00)	6.7 (1.5)	6.4 (1.4)	0.5 (.60)
Your agency's process for identifying sex trafficked youth? **	3.1 (1.9)	3.1 (1.8)	0.0 (.98)	5.9 (2.0)	5.9 (1.8)	0.2 (.81)
What is your level of knowledge about your agency's referral process for sex trafficked youth? **	2.9 (1.9)	3.1 (1.9)	-0.4 (.72)	5.8 (2.1)	5.6 (2.1)	0.6 (.55)
Services available in my community to treat sex trafficked youth?	2.6 (1.6)	3.2 (1.9)	-2.5 (.01)	6.4 (1.8)	6.0 (1.9)	1.5 (.13)

Note: (1) Items scored on a scale from 1 to 10, with 1 being no knowledge, 3 being a little knowledge, 5 being knowledge, 7 being significant knowledge, and 10 being complete knowledge/expert; (2) For "Others" sample, n = 639-642 for all items besides those marked ** (for these, n = 375-376); (3) **Item not included in 2016 assessment.

Summary: Trainees trained by Leslie Briner reported significantly higher knowledge pre-training; but there was only one item (prevalence of sex trafficking) with significant differences between trainers when comparing pre-survey scores or post-survey scores.

Table 3. Comparison of belief items from the Awareness of Sex Trafficking Assessment by trainer

Beliefs about the following statements:	Pre-survey			Post-survey		
	Others Mean (SD) n = 703	Leslie Mean (SD) n = 46	t (p)	Others Mean (SD) n = 280	Leslie Mean (SD) n = 46	t (p)
Prostitution is a victimless crime even when minors participate?	1.5 (1.5)	2.2 (2.4)	-1.7 (.09)	1.4 (1.5)	1.3 (1.1)	0.7 (.46)
Minors choose to engage in prostitution for money?	2.9 (1.8)	2.6 (1.6)	1.0 (.31)	2.5 (2.0)	2.7 (1.8)	-0.6 (.58)
Minors who are involved in prostitution could stop at any time?	1.8 (1.3)	2.2 (1.5)	-1.6 (.11)	1.8 (1.4)	1.9 (1.4)	-0.6 (.56)
Secure detention is necessary to serve youth involved in sex trafficking?	2.9 (2.1)	2.6 (1.7)	1.2 (.25)	2.5 (2.0)	2.0 (1.5)	2.4 (.02)

Note: (1) Items scored on a scale from 1 to 10, with 1 being completely false, 5 and 6 being depends on the situation, and 10 being completely true; (2) For “Others sample, n = 600-610.

Summary: One significant difference comparing post-scores by trainer for the item: “Secure detention is necessary to serve youth involved in sex trafficking?” On average, Leslie’s participants’ scored lower on this item compared to Other trainers’ participants.

Table 4. Comparison of experience and comfort items from the Awareness of Sex Trafficking Assessment by trainer

With your current level of experience, how comfortable do you feel about:	Pre-survey			Post-survey		
	Others n = 280	Leslie n = 37-47	t (p)	Others n = 280	Leslie n = 20	t (p)
Your ability to have a conversation with a youth to identify if s/he is currently being sexually exploited, or is at risk of sexual exploitation?	4.6 (2.5)	4.5 (2.0)	0.5 (.65)	6.9 (2.0)	6.8 (1.6)	0.5 (.61)
Your ability to directly ask a youth if s/he is trading sex for money, survival needs, or other items of value?	5.0 (2.7)	4.7 (2.1)	1.2 (.24)	7.1 (2.0)	7.0 (1.9)	0.3 (.75)
Your ability to identify youth victims of sex trafficking in your community?	4.0 (2.2)	3.8 (1.7)	0.9 (.39)	6.6 (1.8)	6.3 (1.5)	1.2 (.25)
Your ability to identify youth at risk of being sex trafficked in your community?	4.2 (2.3)	3.8 (1.8)	1.4 (.17)	6.7 (1.9)	6.7 (1.8)	0.3 (.75)
Responding appropriately when victims of sex trafficking are identified (e.g., documentation, referral, supervisor, or notification, etc.) **	4.5 (2.7)	4.4 (2.0)	1.2 (.22)	6.9 (2.0)	6.8 (1.7)	0.3 (.74)
Your ability to refer a youth to local resources and services?	5.0 (2.7)	4.7 (2.0)	0.8 (.43)	7.1 (2.0)	6.9 (1.8)	0.9 (.38)
Your ability to have a conversation with youth about safety planning? **	5.1 (2.7)	5.1 (2.2)	-0.3 (.78)	7.0 (2.1)	7.3 (1.4)	-1.0 (.32)

Note: (1) Items scored on a scale from 1 to 10, with 1 being not at all comfortable and 10 being completely comfortable; (2) For “Others” sample, n = 604-608 for all items besides those marked ** (for these, n = 317-366); (3) **Item not included in 2016 assessment.

Summary: No significant differences between trainers when comparing pre-survey scores or post-survey scores.

Table 5. Comparison of knowledge, belief, and experience and comfort mean scores from the Awareness of Sex Trafficking Assessment by trainer

	Pre-survey			Post-survey		
	Others n = 703	Leslie n = 47	t (p)	Others n = 703	Leslie n = 47	t (p)
Mean knowledge score	3.2 (1.5)	3.8 (1.4)	-2.8 (.01)	6.7 (1.4)	6.3 (1.3)	1.5 (.13)
Mean belief score	2.3 (1.1)	2.4 (1.1)	-0.5 (.60)	2.1 (1.2)	2.0 (0.9)	0.6 (.55)
Mean experience and comfort score	4.7 (2.3)	4.4 (1.5)	1.4 (.17)	7.0 (1.8)	6.8 (1.3)	0.9 (.37)

Note: (1) See n's from prior tables for exact sample sizes for each of these three subscales.

Summary: One significant difference between trainers when comparing pre-survey scores: Leslie Briner's trainees scored significantly higher on their knowledge level prior to training. These differences were not present after training was completed.

COMMENTS FOLLOWING LESLIE'S TRAININGS

Alot of information to digest! Really enjoying the discussion and learning process.

Amazing training and it was super helpful. I'll definitely look into more trainings with Leslie.

Awesome Training! I learned a lot.

Excellent training! I took a shortened training with my supervisor in the past and appreciated the refresher and the same content but explained more thoroughly. Well done and I appreciate the training!

I feel like I have increase my knowledge 10 fold from this training. The space altar Leslie created was open and accepting and fostered a lot of great discussion. A lot of what I learned can be applied to my job as well as my personal life. It was great!

Leslie is so knowledgeable! I appreciate the experience she brings into the conversation, the examples of real time stories and application scenarios. You can tell she's worked on the ground, and for that reason understands our organizational context as well as is aware of all oppressive systemic contexts at work. Very worthwhile!
none. Great training!

Some information not as applicable to my program, but still helpful for professional development!

Thank you!

The breaks and activities kept me focused and able to take in most of the information taught. Great training!

This training has been great! The use of videos, discussion, and training was useful and engaging. Thank you for checking in at multiple times about the impact of the content. Information throughout was useful, this will definitely positively impact my work!

This training was extremely helpful. Thank you Leslie!

What a truly wonderful training (if we ignore the material itself). Thank you for such an informative and helpful two days!

When discussing about mandate reporting, trauma, safety planning, and other concepts of this nature, I wish it was more geared towards sexually exploitation youth than discussing about it in general. Coming into this training, I thought majority of the conversation were focusing on how those factors apply to this population than what those concepts are. I felt the content were basic social work practice and wish the discussions were more focused on CSEC youth. The worksheets that were given were helpful in reinforcing and applying the knowledge in real life scernaiois of CSEC population. The first section of the training was good since it discussed about basic CSEC information in terms of definition, myths/facts, and the "numbers", the second part of the training would be more applicable if it tied in with the population than the general topic of youths that are affected with a traumatic experience. Those topics are important too but felt too basic for this training.

COMMENTS FOLLOWING NORENE'S TRAININGS

Noreen is an amazing trainer - all the stories she was able to discuss really concreted the learning and made the training better.

Amazing training, the best I have been to! Thank you for your encouragement, expertise, examples and feedback. The specific examples you gave for handling different scenarios ares o helpful.

Good training - very knowledgeable trainer

Great knowledge foundation.

Great presentations

Great trainers thank you

Great training- would enjoy more in-depth. Trainer was extremely knowledgeable.

Great training! Hopefully with the merge of JR it will be offered to everyone. Giving time for all the activities would be great

Great training. I appreciated the videos and clips which enhanced my learning.

Great, useful, important training! Wish it was 2 days to expand on portions of the training/presentation. Thank you!

Hoping we all at ccors incorporate sexual exploitation questions into our assessments!

I hope this is a regular mandatory training for case workers.

I loves the way the training was presented. Subjects we're approached and explained well. The option for feedback and questions was always asked and welcomed. There presenter on the training was excellent in her work, knowledge and explanation.

I think this is one of the most useful trainings I have had with DCYF. Thank you!

I would have liked more and have gone into depth more. I feel like this training skimmed the surface.

It should be two days

Learned so much, Thank you!

More comprehensive training will be helpful

More discussion about disproportionality. Race and trends.

more hands on work would be great, and very helpful to apply learned policies, procedures, and observe real life case examples

More training on intervention would be great - both for us as we engage with these kids and also to help us better support and education parents and caregivers who interact with these kids every day.

more video would be great!

N/A

none

Nope!! Such a great training I learned a ton!

Norene as an incredible trainer and so knowledgeable!

Norene was great!

Presenter did an amazing job introducing and informing SW's regarding CSEC.

Thank you for driving down all the way down to Olympia.

Thank you for the training

Thank you for training. It was very informative.

Thank you!

Thanks for your informative training. Lots of various factors that are related to this challenging issues, and cultural factors.

This is awesome! I loved the examples you had. Very eye opening that this is an issue in our own community.

This training helped me gain confidence in having the conversations necessary. There are a lot of good resources and I wish I had taken this training as a CFWS worker (I am a licensor now) but I think it's still great information in talking with my clients and kids in care.

Trainer did amazing job of connecting the relevance of this training to our real life job situations. Thank you!

Very engaging and expertly presented.

VERY INFORMATIVE!!! THANK YOU

very informative, should be included in RCT

Very informative. Your stories/examples are great and gives good context.

Very knowledgeable trainer and I'm glad she is working with the Department to address the issues facing CSEC clients

would love to have the motivation interviewing training.

COMMENTS FOLLOWING KELLY'S TRAININGS

awesome training

Both presenters were knowledgeable in this topic! Kept us intrigued.

excellent refresher course.

Excellent training!

Excellent!

Facilitator was engaging and knowledgeable. Great training!!

Fantastic presenter

Glad I attended.

Great content well delivered. Actually, tough content well delivered.

Great content. This could easily be a 2 day training. I'm excited to share this training with my team!!!

Great presentation and useful information. Very powerful

Great training, great trainer.

I look forward to more training. It would be nice to also have more advanced training. Thank you!

I thought the class was very informative and the instructors were very interactive with the group

It was excellent that a survivor was one of the presenters. This is critical. Everyone needs to hear a survivor's voice and perspective.

It was great training! Thank you so much!!

It was very helpful to have the 2-person training team, with different backgrounds, training, and perspectives. Also appreciated having time to hear experiences and opinions of the participants, too -

lots of wisdom in the room as we try to make sense of this frustrating, sad, infuriating phenomenon.

Videos were helpful to bring the voices of more victims / survivors into the room, including the relief of hearing from people who have been able to successfully leave that life. Thanks for all that went into preparing for and delivering this training on a regular basis.

Kelly and Lalitha are phenomenal trainers. Keep up the good work!

Kelly and Natalie did a fantastic job

Kelly was amazing! She is a great trainer and allowed for pushback, trainee feedback and participation, and she was funny and engaging.

Kelly was very engaging and enthusiastic during the training. It was a good session.

Kelly was very engaging, and this was a wonderful training!

loved that this was a survivor (co)led training-- such a unique experience to learn from the survivor-perspective.

More time (longer class) would have allowed for more in-depth discussion on the various aspects of this issue. Excellent trainers.

Thank you for hosting this series! Very informative and powerful. Would love more activities rather than lecture-based discussion (especially for 8 hours of training). An agenda of the day with approximate times for scheduled breaks.

Thank you for making this available for free!

Thank you so much! ??

Thank you so much!!! You are awesome!!!

thank you!

Thank you!

Thank you! I really found this helpful. :)

Thank you! Would love to learn more about labor traffickign with youth as well, not as many people are talking about labor trafficking.

Thanks for this opportunity. I am inspired to see what programs are available locally (GA) and if none or few are avail, I may have to start up one. Thanks for the resources.

Thanks so much!!!

This training amazing and I'm looking forward to the next one!

This was wonderful! I really appreciate all of the resources and information. I hope to attend more

trainings regarding this subject.

Very engaging! Thank you!

very informative. loved how this was in the form of discussion rather than just talking at

COMMENTS FOLLOWING OTHER TRAINERS' TRAININGS

Awesome training, thanks so much Kelly!

Found myself having a challenging time sitting through all of the training... that secondary trauma! :(appreciate the work that you do. Thank you.

Great training

Great training. I had to do some self care after :) Thank you for doing the work you do. One thing that would make it better for me is if the print on the handout was bigger. Overa, the experiences, knowledge, and discussion was the highlight. Thank you.

I think it may be helpful to go over what to do if you find out a youth is being sexually exploited specifically within our agency. Like who within our agency there is to contact/what services.

Invaluable training. Truly.

Maybe a two day training instead of a one day training.

MORE REAL LIFE EXAMPLES FOR YOUTH

Mrs. Yolonda Mazest is an engaging communicator and a great teacher.

N/A

Overall very educational training

Saw video only, so so sad how internet seems to make it all right law protects wow

Thank you

The clinician terms and the info on diagnosing (PTSD, motivational interviewing and trauma focused) was all info that could be skipped over especially for clinicians due to it being repetitive.

Very good! Gracia was a great teacher!

Very well presented, thank you!

Wonderful and engaging presentation!

Would love to learn more

Thank you!

Thank you! I have new ideas for several

Thank you! Very engaging and informative.

Would love to learn more